



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Serge Jean Maurice Mister
Serial No.: 09/343,958
Filing Date: June 30, 1999
Confirmation No.: 8512

Examiner: Kambiz Zand
Art Group: 2132
Docket No.: 0500.9904131
Our File No.: 10500.99.4131

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PREVENTING INTERCEPTION OF
INPUT DATA TO A SOFTWARE APPLICATION**

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Certificate of First Class Mailing
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for
Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on
this date.

3/23/05
Date


Christine A. Wright

PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action mailed March 7, 2005, Applicant files an RCE and submits the following remarks.

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant again wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 10-15 and 24-29 are allowed and that claims 6, 9, 21, 23, 35 and 37 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 16-20, 22, 30-34 and 36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Fadem et al. in view of Young et al. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner notes that he would reconsider his position if the Applicant's arguments are persuasive in a manner to demonstrate that the link flow control (LFC) and key stroke data are actual data and not insertion data in the Fadem reference. The Advisory Action also